arXiv:1609.00516v2 [math.AG] 24 Oct 2016

The complexity of a flat groupoid

Matthieu Romagny and Gabriel Zalamansky

Abstract

We prove the existence (asserted by Grothendieck) of a canonical factorization of a finite epimorphism of Noetherian schemes into a finite sequence of effective epimorphisms. We define the complexity of a flat groupoid $R \rightrightarrows X$ with finite stabilizer to be the length of the canonical sequence of the finite map $R \to X \times_{X/R} X$, where X/R is the Keel-Mori geometric quotient. We expect the complexity to play an important role in the finer study of groupoid quotients. As an illustration, for groupoids of complexity at most 1, we prove a theorem of descent along the quotient $X \to X/R$ and a theorem of quotient of a groupoid by a normal subgroupoid.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Finite epimorphisms **3**
2.1 Epimorphisms 3
2.2 Effective epimorphisms 6
2.3 The canonical factorization 10

3 The category of groupoids **11**
3.1 The vocabulary of groupoids 11
3.2 The complexity 13

4 Descent along the quotient by a groupoid **14**
4.1 Equivariant objects 14
4.2 The descent theorem 15

5 Quotient by a subgroupoid **17**
5.1 The quotient theorem 18

1 Introduction

Groupoids gained importance in Algebraic Geometry because they generalize group actions and enjoy better stability properties than them: by localization, slicing, etc. For instance, if a group G acts on a space X, it is very useful (especially when X has few invariant open subspaces) that for *any* open subspace U, the set of $(g, x) \in G \times X$ such that $x \in U$ and $gx \in U$ is a groupoid, although it is usually not a group action. Groupoids are geometric objects in their own right, e.g. finite groupoids provide a unified setting for Galois covers, vector field actions, and inseparable equivalence relations as in work of Rudakov and Shafarevich [RS76] and Ekedahl [Ek88].

Almost twenty years ago, the theorem of Keel and Mori [KM97] settled the question of existence of quotients for flat groupoids with finite stabilizer. In this paper, we introduce and advertise a new

Keywords : Groupoids, group schemes, quotients, algebraic spaces, effective epimorphisms, descent
Mathematics Subject Classification: 14A20,14L15,14L30

invariant of flat groupoids $R \rightrightarrows X$ which we call the *complexity*. This is motivated by the desire to study other foundational questions on flat groupoids, for example:

Question 1 (descent). Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat groupoid with quotient $Y = X/R$. Consider a category of geometric objects, like schemes, coherent sheaves... over X. Can one characterize those objects that are pullback of an object over Y?

Question 2 (quotient). Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat groupoid and let $P \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat, normal subgroupoid. Does there exist a quotient of R by P?

The interest of question 1 speaks for itself. The interest of question 2 comes from usual dévissage arguments, appearing for instance in [KM97], § 7, and from the desire to understand the internal structure of the category of groupoids. Based on an analogy with the category of groups or flat group schemes, one may be optimistic for a positive answer. However the problem turns out to be quite difficult, the core matter being to define a composition law on the natural candidate to be a quotient. In order to attack these questions, we fix our attention on the morphism $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ which is known to be finite surjective. The complication of the groupoid is largely controlled by the epimorphicity properties of this map. In order to quantify this, we use a result of Grothendieck to the effect that a finite epimorphism of Noetherian schemes admits a factorization as a finite sequence of *effective* epimorphisms. We prove in 2.3.2 that there is a canonical such sequence, and we define the *complexity* of $R \rightrightarrows X$ as the length of the canonical sequence of j_Y. The complexity is equal to 0 when j_Y is an isomorphism, that is, the groupoid acts freely; in this case most questions involving $R \rightrightarrows X$ are easily answered. Our main results concern the next case in difficulty, i.e. the case of complexity 1. In relation with the two questions above, we obtain the following results. In the statements, all spaces are algebraic spaces and groupoids are groupoids in algebraic spaces.

Theorem 1. (See 4.2.3) *Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat finitely presented groupoid with finite stabilizer denoted $\Sigma \to X$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stack for the fpqc topology such that the sheaves $\mathrm{Isom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ of isomorphisms of objects are representable by algebraic spaces. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$ be the category of R-equivariant objects of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ such that the action of the stabilizer Σ is trivial. Assume that the quotient $\pi : X \to Y = X/R$ is flat and that $R \rightrightarrows X$ has complexity at most 1. Then the pullback functor induces an equivalence $\pi^* : \mathcal{C}(Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$.*

Theorem 2. (See 5.1.1) *Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat groupoid. Let $P \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat finitely presented normal subgroupoid of R with finite stabilizer. Let $Y = X/P$ and $Q = P\backslash R/P$ be the quotient of R by the pre- and post-composition action of P. Assume that $R \to Y$ and $Q \to Y$ are flat. If P has complexity at most 1, there is a quotient groupoid $Q \rightrightarrows Y$.*

We emphasize that in Theorem 2, the problem of quotient by a subobject in the category of groupoids features a fundamental difference with the same problem in the category of groups, namely, that we encounter a non-free action. Indeed, the action of P on R/P is not free as soon as the stabilizer of R is nontrivial.

A word is in order on the assumptions of flatness of the quotient maps in both results. In theorem 1 it is not really a problem, since our result should be seen as a view "from above" on flat descent, as we explain in Remark 4.2.4. For instance, a typical case of application is when one is working over a smooth scheme Y and wishes to descend some constructions carried out on a Galois cover $X \to Y$ (in this case flatness is almost automatic). In theorem 2 these assumptions are more unfortunate, but for the moment they are central to our proofs because of the presence of products in the groupoid axioms, and of the

base changes related to these products. In fact it is not clear if and how they could be removed. We elaborate on this in Remark 5.1.3.

For us, the main question now is to extend these results to the case of groupoids of complexity 2. This should involve some higher descent data, or higher stabilizers; a solution will most likely shed decisive light on the case of arbitrary complexity.

Organization of the article. As should already be clear to the reader, we use algebraic spaces as our basic geometric objects. Their relevance (as well as the relevance of groupoids) in questions of quotients in Algebraic Geometry is well explained in the paper [Li05] which we recommend as an excellent contextual reading. This leads us to start in section 2 with some preparations on finite epimorphisms of spaces. In particular, we give sufficient conditions for an epimorphism of algebraic spaces to be effective, and we prove Grothendieck's factorization of finite epimorphisms into finite effective epimorphisms. In section 3 we recall the basic vocabulary of groupoids, and we define the complexity. Then in section 4 we define equivariant objects and prove Theorem 1. Finally in section 5 we prove Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements. This article is derived from the second author's Ph.D. thesis. We thank user27920 on MathOverflow for help in the proof of theorem 2.3.2. We also thank Alessandro Chiodo for discussions related to Theorem 1. Finally we express very warm thanks to David Rydh who provided detailed comments, explanations and (counter-)examples related to the results of section 2.

2 Finite epimorphisms

In this section we study finite epimorphisms of algebraic spaces. The notion of epimorphism turns out to be a little more subtle in the category of algebraic spaces than its counterpart in the category of schemes, due to the lack of the locally ringed space description. The same is true for the notion of effective epimorphism. In order to have a better understanding of the situation, we first give some manageable conditions that ensure a map of algebraic spaces is an epimorphism, or an effective epimorphism. The main result is Theorem 2.2.5, whose Corollary 2.2.9 is the main consequence we need in the sequel. Finally we give a proof of Grothendieck's factorization of a finite epimorphism into a finite sequence of finite effective epimorphisms, Theorem 2.3.2.

2.1 Epimorphisms

First we recall an easy characterization of epimorphisms of schemes.

2.1.1 Lemma. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a morphism of schemes. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *f is an epimorphism (of schemes).*

(2) *f does not factor through an open or closed subscheme $Z \subsetneq S$.*

(3) *f does not factor through a subscheme $Z \subsetneq S$.*

Proof : (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that f factors through a subscheme $Z \subsetneq S$ which is either open or closed. Let $X = S \amalg_Z S$ be the ringed space obtained by glueing two copies of S along their common copy of Z. If Z is open then X is a scheme by ordinary topological glueing, and if Z is closed then X is a scheme by Ferrand [Fe3], th. 7.1 or [SP], Lemma 0B7M. Let $u, v : S \to X$ be the canonical maps. We have $u \neq v$ and $fu = fv$, so f is not an epimorphism.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Immediate because a subscheme is a closed subscheme of an open subscheme.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let X be a scheme and let $u, v : S \to X$ be morphisms such that $fu = fv$. Let Z be the preimage of the diagonal $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ by the map $(u, v) : S \to X \times X$. Since Δ is an immersion,

then Z is a subscheme of S. Since f factors through Z, by (3) it follows that $Z = S$. This shows that (u, v) factors through the diagonal, that is $u = v$. □

Recall that an algebraic space is called *locally separated* if its diagonal is an immersion. Clearly the lemma and its proof show that an epimorphism of schemes is also an epimorphism in the category of locally separated algebraic spaces. However, it may fail to be an epimorphism in the category of all algebraic spaces, even if it is surjective and schematically dominant. Here is a counter-example.

2.1.2 Example. Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Consider the scheme

$$S = \mathrm{Spec}(k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^2))$$

with closed subscheme $Y = V(x-y)$ and open complement $U = D(x-y) = S \setminus Y$. Let $S' = Y \amalg U$. Then the canonical map $f : S' \to S$ is a surjection to a reduced scheme, hence an epimorphism of schemes by the lemma above. The map $j : S' \to S \subset \mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ defines an étale equivalence relation on $\mathbb{A}^1_k$. We let $\pi : \mathbb{A}^1_k \to X$ be the quotient algebraic space. By construction, the pullback of the diagonal $X \subset X \times X$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ is S'. Let $u, v : S \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \to X$ be the maps induced by the two projections $\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2 : S \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$. These maps are distinct, since otherwise (u, v) would factor through the diagonal of X, which would mean that $(p_1, p_2) : S \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ factors through S', which it does not. However $uf = vf$, hence f is not an epimorphism of algebraic spaces.

In the applications that we have in mind, it is cumbersome to check that the algebraic spaces involved satisfy some separation condition. Because of this, we expend some effort on obtaining criteria for epimorphisms in the category of all algebraic spaces. In order to put 2.1.1 in perspective, it is useful to have the construction of glueing along closed subschemes available for algebraic spaces. This is originally due to Raoult [Ra74]. Variants appear in [Ar70, th. 6.1], [Ry11, th. A.4], [CLO12, th 2.2.2], [TT16, th 5.3.1]. In all these sources, the hypotheses allow one of the maps f, g of the glueing diagram to be finite or at least affine and usually some Noetherian-like assumptions are present. It is known to most people that these assumptions are not essential at least when both maps f, g are closed immersions; we give a statement with the main input for the proof coming from [SP].

2.1.3 Lemma. *Let $i_1 : Y \hookrightarrow X_1$ and $i_2 : Y \hookrightarrow X_2$ be closed immersions of algebraic spaces. Then, there exists a pushout $W = X_1 \amalg_Y X_2$ in the category of algebraic spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i_2} & X_2 \\ {\scriptstyle i_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{a} & W. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the diagram is a cartesian square; the maps a, b are closed immersions; the pushout is topological i.e. its underlying topological space is $|X_1| \amalg_{|Y|} |X_2|$; and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_W \longrightarrow a_*\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus b_*\mathcal{O}_{X_2} \longrightarrow c_*\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on the small étale site of W.

Proof : First we reduce to the case where $X_1 = X_2$. Let $Y' = Y \amalg Y$, $X' = X_1 \amalg X_2$, $i'_1 = i_1 \amalg i_2 : Y' \to X'$ and $i'_2 = i_2 \amalg i_1 : Y' \to X'$. If the diagram $X' \longleftarrow Y' \hookrightarrow X'$ has a pushout, then $X' \amalg_{Y'} X'$ is a disjoint sum of two copies of $X_1 \amalg_Y X_2$ which then is representable by an open and closed subspace. Moreover, all the stated properties for $X_1 \amalg_Y X_2$ follow from those of $X' \amalg_{Y'} X'$.

Now we assume that $X_1 = X_2 = X$. Choose an étale surjective map $\pi : U \to X$ where U is a scheme, and let $R = U \times_X U$ with the two projections $s, t : R \to U$. Let E be the preimage of Y in U and let F be the preimage of Y in R by the map $\pi s = \pi t$. By the scheme case the pushouts $\mathscr{U} := U \amalg_E U$ and $\mathscr{R} := R \amalg_F R$ make sense as schemes. Using the pushout property for $\mathscr{R}$ we see that the maps $s \amalg s, t \amalg t : R \amalg R \to U \amalg U$ induce maps which for simplicity we denote s and t:

$$s, t : \mathscr{R} \to \mathscr{U}.$$

They are clearly surjective. We claim that moreover they are étale. This is a local property and is proved in [SP], Lemma 08KQ. Let $W = \mathscr{U}/\mathscr{R}$ be the quotient algebraic space. Checking that W is the pushout is formal, and obtaining the additional properties is easy by taking an atlas. □

We obtain at least a necessary condition.

2.1.4 Lemma. *An epimorphism of algebraic spaces does not factor through a locally closed subspace $Z \subsetneq S$.*

Proof : Same proof as 2.1.1 using Lemma 2.1.3 instead of [Fe3], th. 7.1. □

We now present three cases where one can conclude that a given morphism of algebraic spaces is an epimorphism. The situations are increasingly specific.

2.1.5 Lemma. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces which is schematically dominant and a universal submersion. Then f is an epimorphism of algebraic spaces.*

Proof : This is due to Rydh [Ry10], prop. 7.2. The proof in *loc. cit.* uses descent for étale morphisms; we give a different proof. Let X be an algebraic space and let $u, v : S \to X$ be morphisms such that $fu = fv$. Let Z be the preimage of the diagonal $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ by the map $(u, v) : S \to X \times X$. Note that Δ is a representable monomorphism of spaces which is locally of finite type, see [SP, Lemma 02X4], hence $g : Z \to S$ has the same properties. By the assumption on u, v the map f factors through Z. This shows that g is a surjective monomorphism, hence a universal bijection. Since f is a universal submersion, then the same is true for g. It follows that this is a universal homeomorphism, and in particular quasi-compact. Then g is a proper representable monomorphism, hence a closed immersion. But since it is also schematically dominant, it is an isomorphism. Hence $u = v$, and f is an epimorphism of spaces. □

2.1.6 Lemma. *Let S be an integral, geometrically unibranch algebraic space. Let $f : S' \to S$ be a surjective morphism of algebraic spaces. Then f is an epimorphism of algebraic spaces.*

Proof : Let $u, v : S \to X$ and Z be as in the proof of the previous lemma. Then $Z \to S$ is a monomorphism which is bijective and locally of finite type. It follows from [SP], Lemma 05VH that it is unramified. Since the property of being geometrically unibranch depends only on the étale local rings, the scheme theoretic statement [EGAIV.4], 18.10.3 applies and shows that $Z \to S$ is étale. Then it is a surjective open immersion, hence an isomorphism. □

2.1.7 Lemma. *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a Noetherian local scheme and let $S_n = \mathrm{Spec}(A/m^{n+1})$ be the n-th thickening of the closed point. Then $f : \amalg S_n \to S$ is an epimorphism of algebraic spaces.*

Proof : Let $u, v : S \to X$ be such that $fu = fv$, and Z as in the proof of 2.1.5. By assumption u and v coincide on S_n for all n. Thus $Z \to S$ is a monomorphism locally of finite type such that for all n the closed immersion $S_n \hookrightarrow S$ factors through Z. We identify the closed point s in S_n, Z, and S. Let U be an open affine neighbourhood of s in Z. Let $U \to S' \to S$ where S' is the normalization of S in U. It follows from [Fe1], th. 3 (see also [Fe2], th. 3.2) that $U \to S'$ is a flat monomorphism of finite presentation. Therefore $U \to S'$ is an open immersion. Then the map of local schemes $U_s = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{U,s}) \to S'_s = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S',s})$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $U_s \to S$ is an integral monomorphism, therefore a closed immersion. Finally U_s contains all the schemes S_n and therefore is isomorphic to S. Hence $Z \simeq S$. □

2.1.8 Remarks. The Noetherian assumption is of course crucial, since otherwise we may e.g. have $m = m^n$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. Also we note that David Rydh has suggested to us a proof of 2.1.7 which avoids the use of Ferrand's subtle theorem. Here is a proof sketch: to prove that $Z \to S$ is an isomorphism, we may assume that S is Henselian. Then we can write $Z = Z_0 \amalg Z_1$ where Z_0 is finite over S and contains the unique closed point above the closed point of S. By assumption $Z_0 \to S$ is an isomorphism over every S_n. Using Nakayama, one finds that $Z_0 \to S$ is a closed immersion. Since S is Noetherian, this imples $Z_0 \to S$ is an isomorphism.

2.2 Effective epimorphisms

2.2.1 Definition. We say that $f : S' \to S$ is an *effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces* if the diagram $S' \times_S S' \rightrightarrows S' \to S$ is exact, that is, if for all algebraic spaces X we have an exact diagram of sets:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(S, X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(S', X) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Hom}(S' \times_S S', X).$$

Another way to say it is that S is the categorical quotient of S' by the groupoid $S' \times_S S'$.

2.2.2 Example. Lemma 04P2 in [SP] shows that an fpqc morphism of algebraic spaces is an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces.

If $f : X \to S$ is a morphism, we write $\mathcal{A}_S(X) = f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ or simply $\mathcal{A}(X) = f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ if the base S is clear from context. For instance $\mathcal{A}(S) = \mathcal{O}_S$. Also let us write $S'' = S' \times_S S'$.

2.2.3 Lemma. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated morphism of algebraic spaces. Assume that f is an effective epimorphism. Then the sequence $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$ is exact.*

Proof : Let us simplify the notations by setting $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}(S^*)$ for $* \in \{\varnothing, ', ''\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the kernel of $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the kernel of the pair of arrows $\mathcal{A}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}''$. We must prove that $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism. Since f is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, the sheaves $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$, $\mathcal{A}''$ are quasi-coherent hence the sheaves $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are also quasi-coherent. According to Lemma 2.1.4 we have $\mathcal{I} = 0$. Now let us write $T = \mathrm{Spec}_S(\mathcal{B})$ and $S'_{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathrm{Spec}_S(\mathcal{A}')$. We have injective sheaf morphisms $\mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}'$ and corresponding scheme morphisms $\pi : S' \to S'_{\mathrm{aff}}$, $g : S'_{\mathrm{aff}} \to T$, $h : T \to S$ satisfying $f = hg\pi$. Let $p_1, p_2 : S'' \to S'$ be the projections. Since $g\pi p_1 = g\pi p_2$ and f is effective, there is a morphism $e : S \to T$ such that $g\pi = ef$. We deduce that $g\pi = ehg\pi$. As the sheaf map $(g\pi)^\sharp : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is injective, this implies that $e^\sharp : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a section of the map $h^\sharp : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ which therefore is an isomorphism. □

This lemma shows that under the qcqs assumption, it is necessary for an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces to give rise to an *exact* sequence of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$. For the converse, in the world of schemes things are quite simple: a submersion with the above exact sequence

property is an effective epimorphism, see [SGA1], Exp. VIII, Prop. 5.1. In the world of algebraic spaces things are a bit more subtle, and our purpose in the rest of this subsection is to strengthen slightly the submersion property so as to salvage the result.

2.2.4 Lemma. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces. Then the property for f to be a morphism of effective descent for étale quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces is local on the target for the étale topology.*

Proof : First let $T \to S$ be an étale base change. Let $T' = T \times_S S'$ and $T'' = T' \times_T T'' = T \times_S S''$. We must prove descent for morphisms, and effective descent for objects. Let E_1, E_2 be two étale quasi-compact separated T-schemes. We prove that the diagram $\mathrm{Hom}_T(E_1, E_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{T'}(E_1', E_2') \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Hom}_{T''}(E_1'', E_2'')$ is exact. Injectivity of the first map is clear. Let $u' : E_1' \to E_2'$ be a T'-morphism such that its pullbacks under the maps $T'' \rightrightarrows T'$ coincide. In order to construct the morphism $u : E_1 \to E_2$ that pulls back to u', we may work étale-locally on T and hence assume that T is an affine scheme. In this case the maps $T \to S$ are étale quasi-compact and separated. We may view u' as a map of S'-schemes and its pullbacks under the maps $S'' \rightrightarrows S'$ coincide. Then the assumption on f provides an S-morphism $u : E_1 \to E_2$. Moreover if $a_i : E_i \to T$ are the structure morphisms, we see that $a_2 u$ and a_1 become equal when pulled back to S', hence they are equal. This shows that u is in fact a map of T-schemes.

Now let $E' \to T'$ be an étale quasi-compact separated algebraic space with a descent datum with respect to $T' \to T$. Again the construction of the descended object $E \to T$ is étale-local on T so we may assume that T is an affine scheme. In this case $E' \to T' \to S'$ is étale quasi-compact separated. Moreover, the descent datum can be viewed as a descent datum with respect to $S' \to S$. By the assumption on f there exists an étale quasi-compact separated morphism $E \to S$ whose pullback under $S' \to S$ is E'. Moreover the map $E' \to T'$ descends to an S-map $E \to T$ and the construction of E is finished.

Second let $T \to S$ be étale and surjective and assume that the base change $f_T : T' \to T$ is of effective descent for étale quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces. Let $X' \to S'$ be an étale quasi-compact separated morphism equipped with a descent datum for S'/S. Then the pullback $X_T' \to T$ has a descent datum for T'/T. By the assumption it descends to $X_T \to T$. By uniqueness of the descent we find a canonical descent datum on X_T for the étale covering $T \to S$ and by effective descent along étale maps, it descends to a unique $X \to S$ as desired. □

The next theorem is our main result on effective epimorphisms of algebraic spaces. In the world of schemes, the conditions (1) and (2) of the statement ensure that f is an effective epimorphism. In the world of algebraic spaces, we add conditions (3) and (4) to suitably allow étale localization and descent. Note that the reasons why we consider étale quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces in condition (4), rather than simply étale algebraic spaces, are threefold. Firstly, there are much more known cases of effective descent for quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces, see 2.2.7. Secondly, adding the quasi-compactness and separation assumptions is enough for the needs of the proof of 2.2.5, as the reader will see. Thirdly, étale separated morphisms of algebraic spaces are representable by schemes, which is comfortable, see [SP], Lemma 0417. After these comments here is the statement.

2.2.5 Theorem. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces. Assume that:*

(1) *f is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated submersion,*

(2) *the diagram of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$ is exact,*

(3) *f is an epimorphism of algebraic spaces after any étale base change $T \to S$,*

(4) *f is a morphism of effective descent for étale quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces.*

Then f is an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces and remains so after any étale base change.

Proof : All four assumptions are stable by étale base change on S. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that f is an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces. We have to prove that for all algebraic spaces X, the diagram $X(S) \to X(S') \rightrightarrows X(S'')$ is exact. Injectivity follows from assumption (3). It remains to prove that if $\alpha' : S' \to X$ satisfies $\alpha' \operatorname{pr}_1 = \alpha' \operatorname{pr}_2$ then there exists $\alpha : S \to X$ such that $\alpha' = \alpha f$.

We prove that the question is Zariski-local on X. Let (X_i) be a covering of X by open subspaces and let $S'_i = (\alpha')^{-1}(X_i)$. Then S'_i is saturated, that is $S'_i = f^{-1}(f(S'_i))$. Since f is a submersion by assumption (1), then S'_i descends to an open subspace $S_i \subset S$. If for each i there exists $\alpha_i : S_i \to X_i \subset X$ such that $\alpha'_{|S'_i} = \alpha_i f_{|S'_i}$ then by uniqueness the morphisms α_i glue to give a solution $\alpha : S \to X$.

We prove that the question has a positive answer when X is a scheme. Indeed, we can cover X by open affine subschemes and then by the preceding step we can reduce to the case where $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(T, \operatorname{Spec}(A)) = \operatorname{Hom}(A, \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T))$ for all algebraic spaces T (see [SP, Lemma 05Z0]), the question reduces to a construction of ring homomorphisms and then the conclusion comes from assumption (2).

Now let X be an arbitrary algebraic space. Using a covering by quasi-compact open subspaces we can assume that X is quasi-compact. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be an étale surjective morphism where Y is an affine scheme. Let $U' = Y \times_X S'$ and $U'' = Y \times_X S''$. Then U' is an étale, quasi-compact and separated algebraic space over S'. (It is representable by schemes.) Moreover the assumption $\alpha' \operatorname{pr}_1 = \alpha' \operatorname{pr}_2$ implies that U' carries a descent datum. By assumption (4) it descends to an étale algebraic space $U \to S$. Also let $\beta' : U' \to Y$ be the pullback of α'. Let $R = U \times_S U$ and $R' = U' \times_{S'} U'$.



Moreover $\beta' \operatorname{pr}_1 = \beta' \operatorname{pr}_2 : U'' \to Y$. Since $U' \to U$ satisfies again all the assumptions (1)–(4) and the statement holds when the test space Y is a scheme, we obtain a morphism $\beta : U \to Y$. We claim that $\pi\beta : U \to X$ is R-invariant. Since $R' \to R$ is an étale pullback of $f : S' \to S$, it is an epimorphism by assumption (3). Hence it is enough to prove that the compositions $R' \to R \rightrightarrows U \to X$ are equal. This follows because these compositions are equal to $\alpha' d s' = \alpha' d t'$. Thus $\pi\beta$ induces a morphism $\alpha : S \to X$ and we are done. □

2.2.6 Remark. According to David Rydh, universally submersive and quasi-compact morphisms of spaces are of effective descent for étale qcqs morphisms of spaces (private communication). Using Lemma 2.1.5 to check condition (2) in Theorem 2.2.5, we obtain: if f is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated universal submersion such that $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$ is exact, then f is an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces and remains so after flat base change.

Collecting some results on morphisms of effective descent for étale maps in the literature, we find the following special cases.

2.2.7 Corollary. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a representable morphism of algebraic spaces that is either :*

(i) *surjective and integral,*

(ii) *faithfully flat and quasi-compact,*

(iii) *a universal submersion, of finite type, quasi-finite, with S locally Noetherian,*

(iv) *surjective, universally open, of finite type, with S locally Noetherian,*

(v) *proper, surjective, of finite presentation.*

Then if the sequence of modules $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$ is exact, the map f is an effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces and remains so after any flat base change.

Proof : We check the conditions of Theorem 2.2.5. In each case condition (1) holds, condition (2) is satisfied by assumption, and condition (3) is ensured by Lemma 2.1.5. It remains to see that (4) is satisfied, i.e. f is of effective descent for étale quasi-compact separated algebraic spaces. Since this property is étale-local on the target (Lemma 2.2.4) and f is representable by schemes, by taking an étale atlas of S one reduces to checking that (4) is satisfied for a map of schemes satisfying one of the conditions (i)–(v). Then the claim follows from [SP], Prop. 0BTP in case (i), from [SGA1], Exp. IX, prop. 4.1, prop. 4.8 and cor. 4.9 in cases (ii), (iii) and (iv), and from [Ry10], cor. 5.15 in case (v). □

2.2.8 Remarks. (1) Assume that f is representable and satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(v). Then the property "f is an effective epimorphism" is fpqc-local on S because exactness of a sequence of quasi-coherent modules is an fpqc-local condition.

(2) With the assumption that f is subtrusive, it is possible to remove the Noetherian assumption in cases (iii) and (iv). See [Ry10], § 6 and in particular [Ry13], Th. A.2 for a summary of results on effectivity of descent for étale maps.

For ease of future reference, we single out the following particular case of 2.2.7(i).

2.2.9 Corollary. *Let $f : S' \to S$ be a finite morphism of algebraic spaces such that the sequence $\mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}(S'')$ is exact. Then f is a uniform effective epimorphism of algebraic spaces.* □

2.2.10 Examples. Here are some sufficient conditions for a morphism $f : \mathrm{Spec}(A') \to \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ defined by a finite ring extension $A \subset A'$ to be effective.

(1) f is faithfully flat (faithfully flat descent).

(2) f is the quotient of a groupoid (by the quotient property).

(3) f is a resolution of quotient singularities (the claim is local, hence follows from the previous case).

(4) f is unramified with fibre-degree at most 2. Indeed, by the structure of unramified morphisms, étale-locally on the target the morphism f has the form $\mathrm{Spec}(A/I) \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(A/J) \to \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Hence we may assume that $A' = A/I \times A/J$ with $I \cap J = 0$, so that $A' \otimes_A A' = (A/I) \times (A/I+J) \times (A/I+J) \times (A/J)$. To say that $a' = (a_1, a_2) \in A'$ has equal images in $A' \otimes_A A'$ means that $a_1 \equiv a_2 \mod I + J$, hence $a_1 + i = a_2 + j$ for some $i \in I$, $j \in J$. Thus $a' \in A$.

(5) Levelt [Le65] contains some more examples. For instance if $A \subset A'$ is a local inclusion of local rings with trivial residue field extension and no intermediate subring then f is effective ([Le65], chap. IV, Lemme 4). If for some maximal ideal $m \subset A$ we have $A'/A \simeq A/m$ as A-modules, then f is effective ([Le65], chap. IV, Lemme 7).

2.3 The canonical factorization

2.3.1 Definition. Let $f : T \to S$ be a finite epimorphism of algebraic spaces. The *canonical sequence* of f is the sequence of finite S-spaces $T_i = \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ defined by $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_S$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i+1} = \ker(\mathcal{A}_i \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}_i)$. The *length* of the sequence is the least $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $T_i \to T_{i+1}$ is an isomorphism.

The following result is stated without proof in [Gr59], A.2.b. It appears also in Raynaud's exposé [SGA3.2], Exp. XV (just before Lemme 3.7) where it is used to study the functor of subgroups of multiplicative type of a group scheme. Here we provide a precise statement and a proof.

2.3.2 Theorem (Grothendieck)**.** *Let $f : T \to S$ be a finite epimorphism of algebraic spaces with S Noetherian. Then the canonical sequence has finite length; call it n. The corresponding factorization:*

$$f : T = T_0 \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow T_2 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow T_n = S$$

is characterized by the properties :

(1) *for each $i < n$, the morphism $T_i \to T_{i+1}$ is a finite effective epimorphism;*

(2) *for each $i < n$, the canonical morphism $T_i \times_{T_{i+1}} T_i \to T_i \times_S T_i$ is an isomorphism.*

In particular f is effective if and only if $n = 1$.

Proof : For simplicity write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_S$. By the definition of $\mathcal{A}_i$, the surjective morphism $\mathcal{A}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{A}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is an isomorphism. Therefore we have an exact diagram $\mathcal{A}_{i+1} \to \mathcal{A}_i \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1}} \mathcal{A}_i$. By Lemma 2.2.3, if we define $T_i = \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ this means that $T_i \to T_{i+1}$ is an effective epimorphism. We see that the properties (1) and (2) are satisfied and moreover the definition of $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the only possible one if we want it to be so. Furthermore f is an effective epimorphism if and only if $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$. In order to finish the proof, it only remains to see that the decreasing sequence $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is stationary with limit value $\mathcal{A}$.

Assume that $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is stationary. Then, there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}_n$. Consequently we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathcal{A}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}} \mathcal{A}_n \simeq \mathcal{A}_n$. This means that $T_n \to S$ is a monomorphism. Being dominant and finite, it must be an isomorphism. In other words $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}_n$ and hence the limit value of $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is $\mathcal{A}$.

Since S is Noetherian, the desired property of stationarity is étale-local on S. Moreover the formation of $\mathcal{A}_i$ commutes with restriction to an open subscheme and with passage to the stalks (on étale local rings). If for some point $s \in S$ the sequence of stalks $(\mathcal{A}_{i,s})_{i \geqslant 0}$ is stationary, then the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_s \to \mathcal{A}_{n,s}$ extends in a neighbourhood of s. Thus we may assume that S is local with closed point s. In particular, we may assume that S (local or not) has finite dimension d. We now argue by induction on d. If $d = 0$, the rings $\mathcal{A}_i$ have finite length and the sequence $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stationary. If $d > 0$, the open $U = S \setminus \{s\}$ has dimension $< d$ so by induction the sequence $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stationary after restriction to U. By the same argument as before, we then know that for all big enough i the morphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_i$ is an isomorphism away from s. It follows that the quotient $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $\mathcal{A}_i/\mathcal{A}$ has finite length. Thus $\mathcal{A}_i/\mathcal{A}$ is stationary, and hence also $\mathcal{A}_i$. □

2.3.3 Remark. One sees easily that if f factors as $T \to T' \to S$ with $T \to T'$ effective, then T' dominates T_1, that is we have a factorization $T \to T' \to T_1 \to S$. It follows by induction that each factorization $T = T'_0 \to T'_1 \longrightarrow T'_2 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow T'_m = S$ dominates the canonical factorization in an obvious sense. In particular $m \geqslant n$, i.e. the length of the canonical factorization is minimal among the lengths of all factorizations of f into finite effective epimorphisms.

2.3.4 Example. Let k be a field and S the affine cuspidal k-curve with equation $y^3 = x^4$. We shall see that the canonical decomposition of the normalization map $f : T \to S$ into a sequence of finite effective epimorphisms has length $n = 2$, as follows :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T & \longrightarrow & T_1 & \longrightarrow & S \\ \mathbb{A}^1_k & & \begin{array}{c}\text{spatial}\\ \text{singularity}\\ y^2 = xz\\ z^2 = x^2y\\ yz = x^3\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text{planar}\\ \text{cuspidal}\\ \text{singularity}\\ y^3 = x^4\end{array} \end{array}$$

We have $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $T = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ with $A = k[x, y]/(y^3 - x^4)$ and $B = k[t]$, the morphism $A \to B$ being given by $x = t^3$ and $y = t^4$. In other words $A \simeq k[t^3, t^4] \hookrightarrow k[t]$. We can write :

$$B \otimes_A B = \frac{k[t_1, t_2]}{(t_1^3 - t_2^3, t_1^4 - t_2^4)}$$

and the two arrows $B \rightrightarrows B \otimes_A B$ map t to t_1 and t_2 respectively. The ring $B_1 = \ker(B \rightrightarrows B \otimes_A B)$ contains A as well as the element t^5, since $t_1^5 = t_1 t_2^4 = t_1 t_2 t_1^3 = t_1^4 t_2 = t_2^5$. Therefore B contains $k[t^3, t^4, t^5]$. If we notice that the annihilator of $t_1 - t_2$ in $B \otimes_A B$ is generated by $t_1^2 + t_1 t_2 + t_2^2$ and $(t_1 + t_2)(t_1^2 + t_2^2)$, we see that B_1 does not contain elements of the form $at + bt^2$. This proves that $B_1 = k[t^3, t^4, t^5]$. Letting $z = t^5$ we get the presentation :

$$B_1 = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(y^2 - xz, z^2 - x^2 y, yz - x^3)}.$$

In particular B_1 is a free $k[x]$-module with basis $\{1, y, z\}$. We now prove that $A = \ker(B_1 \rightrightarrows B_1 \otimes_A B_1)$. We write :

$$B_1 \otimes_A B_1 = k[x, y, z_1, z_2]/I$$

with $I = (y^2 - xz_1, z_1^2 - x^2 y, yz_1 - x^3, x(z_1 - z_2), y(z_1 - z_2), z_1^2 - z_2^2)$. The two arrows $B_1 \rightrightarrows B_1 \otimes_A B_1$ map z to z_1 and z_2 respectively. Let $P = a(x) + b(x)y + c(x)z$ be an element of B_1 such that $P(x, y, z_1) = P(x, y, z_2)$, i.e. $c(x)z_1 = c(x)z_2$. In view of the structure of the annihilator of $z_1 - z_2$ inside $B_1 \otimes_A B_1$, this implies that x divides $c(x)$, hence $P \in k[x, y, xz] = k[x, y] = A$, as announced.

3 The category of groupoids

In this section we briefly recall some definitions and notations on groupoids (§ 3.1) and we define the complexity of a flat groupoid with finite stabilizer whose $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ map is dominant (§ 3.2).

3.1 The vocabulary of groupoids

Good references for this material are Keel-Mori [KM97] and Rydh [Ry13]. We fix a base algebraic space S, and products are fibred over S. We use the vocabulary of the functor of points: a *point* of an algebraic space X over S is a morphism $x : T \to X$ with values in some S-scheme T. We often write simply $x \in X$.

3.1.1 Groupoids. We work with *groupoids in S-algebraic spaces*, also called *groupoid spaces* or simply *groupoids*. A groupoid is given by five morphisms of S-spaces $s, t : R \to X$, $c : R \times_{s,X,t} R \to X$, $e : X \to R$, $i : R \to R$ subject to the conditions that $X(T)$ is the set of objects and $R(T)$ is the set of arrows of a small category, functorially in T. The maps s, t, c, e, i are called *source*, *target*, *composition*, *unit* (or *identity*), *inversion*. The points of $R \times_{s,X,t} R$ are called *pairs of composable arrows*. Usually we

denote a groupoid simply by $s,t : R \rightrightarrows X$ and we call j the map $j = (s,t) : R \to X \times X$. Typically a point of X will be denoted x while a point of R will be denoted with a greek letter like α. We sometimes write 1_x or simply 1 instead of $e(x)$. We occasionnally write $\alpha : x \to y$ if $x = s(\alpha)$ and $y = t(\alpha)$.

3.1.2 Actions. For instance, an S-group space G acting on a space X gives rise to a groupoid $s,t : G \times X \rightrightarrows X$ where s is the second projection and t is the action. In the general setting one may shape one's intuition by thinking of a groupoid as a space R acting on a space X. If $\alpha : x \to y$ is an arrow, there is a corresponding action-like notation $\alpha(x) := t(\alpha) = y$. In these terms, the action is trivial if and only if $s = t$ and the maps c, e, i make $R \to X$ into an X-group space.

3.1.3 Stabilizers. If $R \rightrightarrows X$ is a groupoid and $x : T \to X$ is a point, then its *stabilizer* is the X-goup space $\mathrm{Stab}_R = j^{-1}(\Delta_X)$ where $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ is the diagonal. This is the largest subgroupoid which is a group space, or also, the largest subgroupoid acting trivially.

3.1.4 Subgroupoids. A *subgroupoid* is a sub-algebraic space $P \subset R$ that is stable under composition and inversion, and contains the unit section $e(X)$. (Topologists call this a *wide subgroupoid* because they also allow subgroupoids $P \rightrightarrows Y$ whose base is an arbitrary possibly empty subspace $Y \subset X$.) A subgroupoid is called *normal* if for any $\alpha \in P$ and $\varphi \in R$ we have $\varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1} \in P$ whenever composability holds. In detail, if $\varphi : x \to y$, then composability means that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Stab}_{P,x}$ and then we have $\varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Stab}_{P,y}$. In particular the condition that P be normal in R depends only on the stabilizer Stab_P. Any subgroupoid containing Stab_R is normal; in particular if Stab_R is trivial then all subgroupoids are normal.

3.1.5 Morphisms, kernels. A *morphism of groupoids* from $R \rightrightarrows X$ to $R' \rightrightarrows X'$ is a morphism of S-spaces $f : R \to R'$ such that $f(\alpha\beta) = f(\alpha)f(\beta)$ for all composable arrows $\alpha, \beta \in R$. We also use the notation $f : (R, X) \to (R', X')$. Such a morphism f has various automatic compatibilities with the maps s, t, e, i. For instance, f maps identities to identities. Moreover there is an induced morphism on objects $s' \circ f \circ e = t' \circ f \circ e : X \to X'$ which we also write f for simplicity. Thus, notationally for an arrow $\alpha : x \to y$ in R we obtain an arrow $f(\alpha) : f(x) \to f(y)$ in R'. The *kernel* of a morphism $f : R \to R'$ is the preimage of the unit section $e' : X' \to R'$. It is a normal subgroupoid of R.

3.1.6 Invariant morphisms. Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a groupoid and let P be a subgroupoid. Then P acts on R in various natural ways. The action by precomposition is a groupoid $R \times_{(s,t)} P \rightrightarrows R$, and the action by postcomposition is a groupoid $P \times_{(s,t)} R \rightrightarrows R$. The stabilizers of both actions are trivial. The simultaneous action, to be called *by pre-post-composition*, is a groupoid $P \times_{(s,t)} R \times_{(s,t)} P \rightrightarrows R$. We have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Stab}_{P \times_{(s,t)} R \times_{(s,t)} P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Stab}_P \times_{(s,t)} R$ given by $(\varphi, \alpha, \psi) \longmapsto (\varphi, \alpha)$. This implies that the morphism of groupoids $f : P \times_{(s,t)} R \times_{(s,t)} P \longrightarrow R$, $f(\varphi, \alpha, \psi) = \varphi$ whose underlying morphism on objects is $f = t : R \to X$ is fixed point reflecting, in the sense of [KM97], 2.2. Now let us consider moreover a morphism of groupoids $f : R \to R'$. Then the following four assertions are rewordings of one and the same property : (i) $P \subset \ker(f)$, (ii) f is invariant by the left P-action on R, (iii) f is invariant by the right P-action on R, (iv) f is invariant by the pre-post-composition P-action on R. If this property holds, we say that f is *P-invariant*.

3.1.7 Quotients. Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a groupoid and $P \subset R$ a subgroupoid. A *categorical quotient* of R by P is a morphism of groupoids $\pi : R \to Q$ which is P-invariant and is universal among invariant morphisms $R \to R'$. A categorical quotient is called *regular* if the canonical map $P \to \ker(\pi)$ is an isomorphism.

In Definition 3.1.7 we simplify the discussion by restricting to categorical quotients; other notions of quotients are recalled in 3.2 below. To shed light on the definition, note that by the universal property there is a morphism $P \to \ker(\pi)$ but contrary to what happens in the category of groups, it is not at all clear if this is an isomorphism (and we do not think it is the case in general). We will not pursue this question in this article.

3.2 The complexity

Whereas we introduced basic notions internal to the category of *groupoids*, in order to define the complexity we come back to the categories of schemes and algebraic spaces. Recall that if $s, t : R \rightrightarrows X$ is a groupoid space, then a morphism $f : X \to X'$ is called *R-invariant* if $fs = ft$. We will not repeat here the various definitions related to quotients because they receive a clear presentation in [KM97], § 1 and [Ry13], § 2. We content ourselves with saying that a morphism $X \to Y$ is a *categorical quotient* if it is initial among R-invariant morphisms $X \to X'$, a *geometric quotient* if it is a submersion and $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is identified with the sheaf of R-invariant sections of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and a quotient of one of these types is *uniform* it its formation commutes with flat base change. We recall the statement of the fundamental Keel-Mori theorem from [KM97], [Ry13] as well as the case with trivial stabilizer from [Ar74].

3.2.1 Theorem. *Let S be an algebraic space and let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat, locally finitely presented S-groupoid space with finite stabilizer.*

(1) *There is a uniform geometric and categorical quotient $X \to X/R = Y$ such that the map $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ is finite and surjective.*

(2) *The space $Y \to S$ is separated (resp. quasi-separated) if and only if j is finite (resp. quasi-compact). It is locally of finite type if S is locally Noetherian and $X \to S$ is locally of finite type.*

(3) *If the stabilizer is trivial, then Y is the fppf quotient sheaf, the map $X \to Y$ is flat locally finitely presented, the map j_Y is an isomorphism, and the formation of Y commutes with arbitrary base changes $Y' \to Y$.*

When $R \rightrightarrows X$ is finite and locally free, it is known moreover that $X \to Y$ is open and integral.

3.2.2 Remarks. (1) The map $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ need not be schematically dominant, in particular it need not be an epimorphism. Here is an example. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k[x]/(x^2))$ with action of $\mu_n = \mathrm{Spec}(k[z]/(z))$ by multiplication then $Y = X/R = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. We have $X \times_Y X = \mathrm{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2, x_2^2)$. The morphism $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ is given by the map of k-algebras $k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2, x_2^2) \to k[x, z]/(x^2, z^n - 1)$ such that $x_1 \mapsto x$ and $x_2 \mapsto zx$. The element $x_1 x_2$ is not zero and it is mapped to $zx^2 = 0$.

(2) The map $X \to X/R$ need not be of finite type even when $R \rightrightarrows X$ is finite locally free. For example if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t_1, t_2, \dots])$ with action of μ_n by $z.t_i = zt_i$ then X/R is the spectrum of the ring of polynomials all whose homogeneous components have degree a multiple of n.

The fact that $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ may fail to be an epimorphism (that is, schematically dominant) is a pathology: it means in some sense that there are two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with the same image in Y that are not related by the groupoid. In the rest of the text we will focus on flat groupoids $R \rightrightarrows X$ such that j_Y *is* an epimorphism. This happens for example when $X \to Y$ is flat and there is a schematically dense open subscheme $X_0 \subset X$ where the action is free, a case of interest in covering theory. One way to measure the good behaviour of such groupoids is furnished by Theorem 2.3.2 and leads to the following notion.

3.2.3 Definition. Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat, finitely presented groupoid space with finite stabilizer. We say that $R \rightrightarrows X$ *has complexity n* if the map $j_Y : R \to X \times_Y X$ is an epimorphism and the length of its canonical sequence is n.

3.2.4 Examples. (1) The groupoid $R \rightrightarrows X$ has complexity 0 if and only if it is free. It has complexity $\leqslant$ 1 if and only if j_Y is an effective epimorphism.

(2) It follows from Levelt's results 2.2.10(5) that a finite locally free groupoid with isolated fixed points of stabilizer degree at most 2 (e.g. an action of a group scheme of order 2 with isolated fixed points) has complexity at most 1.

4 Descent along the quotient by a groupoid

After the work of the previous sections, we are ready to give an answer to the descent question from the introduction, for groupoids of complexity at most 1. It applies to the objects of a stack whose isomorphism sheaves are representable: see Theorem 4.2.3.

4.1 Equivariant objects

4.1.1 Definition. Let $s, t : R \rightrightarrows X$ be a groupoid and $c, \mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2 : R \times_{s,X,t} R \to R$ the composition and projections. Let $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Spaces}$ be a fibered category and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ an object. An *R-linearization* on $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism $\phi : s^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} t^*\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the cocycle condition $c^*\phi = (\mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\phi) \circ (\mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi)$, meaning that the following triangle is commutative :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (s\,\mathrm{pr}_2)^*\mathcal{F} = (sc)^*\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\quad c^*\phi \quad} & (tc)^*\mathcal{F} = (t\,\mathrm{pr}_1)^*\mathcal{F} \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\phi} & \\ & (t\,\mathrm{pr}_2)^*\mathcal{F} = (s\,\mathrm{pr}_1)^*\mathcal{F}. & \end{array}$$

An *R-equivariant object of $\mathcal{C}$ over X* is an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ together with an R-linearization. We write $\mathcal{C}(X)^R$ for the category of equivariant objects.

4.1.2 Example. Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a groupoid as above and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be an R-invariant morphism, i.e. $\pi s = \pi t$. Then for any object $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$, the pullback $\mathcal{F} = \pi^*\mathcal{G}$ is endowed with a canonical R-linearization $\phi : s^*\mathcal{F} = s^*\pi^*\mathcal{G} \simeq (\pi s)^*\mathcal{G} = (\pi t)^*\mathcal{G} \simeq t^*\pi^*\mathcal{G} = t^*\mathcal{F}$.

We recall the notion of a *square*, which is closely related to that of R-equivariant object.

4.1.3 Definition. A morphism of groupoids $f : (R', X') \to (R, X)$ is called a *square* when the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ R & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

is cartesian, if we take for horizontal maps either both source maps, or both target maps.

To illustrate these definitions, take for $\mathcal{C}$ the category of spaces over spaces. For $(X' \to X) \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, the following lemma makes it clear that a linearization on X' is the same as a lift of the R-action to X'.

4.1.4 Lemma. *Let $s, t : R \rightrightarrows X$ be a groupoid. Let $(f : X' \to X, \phi : s^*X' \xrightarrow{\sim} t^*X')$ be an R-equivariant X-space. Complete X' to a quintuple (R', X', s', t', c') as follows :*

- *$R' = s^*X' = R \times_{s,X,f} X'$ whose points are pairs (α, x') with $\alpha \in R$ and $x' \in X'$,*
- *$s' = \mathrm{pr}_2 : R' \to X'$,*
- *$t' = \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \phi : R \times_{s,X,f} X' \longrightarrow R \times_{t,X,f} X' \longrightarrow X'$,*
- *$c' : R' \times_{s',X',t'} R' \longrightarrow R'$ defined on the points by $c'((\alpha, x'), (\beta, y')) = (\alpha\beta, y')$.*

Then (R', X', s', t', c') is a groupoid and the morphism $(R', X') \to (R, X)$ is a morphism of groupoids.

Proof : This is [SP], Tag 0APC. □

4.2 The descent theorem

Let $s, t : R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat finitely presented groupoid. In this section we are interested in the problem of descending objects of a category $\mathcal{C}$ fibred over the category of algebraic spaces along the quotient map $\pi : X \to X/R = Y$. We know that for any object $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$, the pullback $\mathcal{F} = \pi^*\mathcal{G}$ is endowed with a canonical R-linearization (example 4.1.2). Conversely, if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ then the datum of an R-linearization allows to descend $\mathcal{F}$ to an object based on $[X/R]$, the quotient *as an algebraic stack*, but is not enough to descend $\mathcal{F}$ to an object of $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ in general. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)^R$ be the category of equivariant objects $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$. Since the early formulation of Descent Theory by Grothendieck, the goal one wants to reach is to characterize the essential image of the pullback functor $\pi^* : \mathcal{C}(Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X)^R$. When $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of étale morphisms of spaces, and without additional conditions on $R \rightrightarrows X \to Y$, Keel and Mori [KM97, Lemma 6.3], Kollár [Ko97, § 2], Rydh [Ry13, § 3] obtain such a characterization in terms of fixed-point reflecting R-equivariant objects. In a different direction, we shall prove that if $R \rightrightarrows X$ has complexity at most 1 and flat quotient $X \to Y$, there is a nice description of the image of π^* for very general stacks $\mathcal{C}$.

4.2.1 Definition. Let $\Sigma = \mathrm{Stab}_R$ be the stabilizer of the groupoid, let $a : \Sigma \to R$ be the inclusion, and put $b = sa = ta$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(X)^R$ composed of equivariant objects $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$ such that the action of Σ is trivial, meaning that the following map is the identity:

$$b^*\mathcal{F} \simeq a^*s^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{a^*\phi} a^*t^*\mathcal{F} \simeq b^*\mathcal{F}.$$

To dispel the dryness of the formalism of groupoids, we emphasize that if $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of schemes or algebraic spaces, and if the groupoid is given by the action of a group G, then a linearization on some $X' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ is equivalent to a lift of the action of G to X' and the action of Σ is trivial in the above sense if and only if it is in the usual sense.

4.2.2 Lemma. *The functor $\pi^* : \mathcal{C}(Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X)^R$ takes values in $\mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$.*

Proof : We have to show that the canonical linearization of a pullback $\mathcal{F} = \pi^*\mathcal{G}$ becomes trivial when restricted to Σ. Recall from [Gr59], A.1 or [SP], Definition 003N that in a fibred category, there are isomorphisms $(fg)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*f^*$ between pullback functors, and commutative squares giving compatibility for triple compositions :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (fgh)^* & \longrightarrow & (gh)^*f^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h^*(fg)^* & \longrightarrow & h^*g^*f^*. \end{array}$$

We write the two squares picturing such compatibility for the two compositions $\pi sa : \Sigma \to Y$ and $\pi ta : \Sigma \to Y$, taking advantage of the fact that $\pi s = \pi t$ in order to glue them on one side:



Since $sa = ta$ we see that the top row is the identity. The commutativity of the exterior diagram is exactly the claim we want to prove. □

4.2.3 Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stack for the fpqc topology such that the sheaves $\mathrm{Isom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ of isomorphisms of objects are representable by algebraic spaces. Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat, finitely presented groupoid space. Assume that the quotient $\pi : X \to Y = X/R$ is flat and that $R \rightrightarrows X$ has complexity at most 1. Then the functor $\pi^* : \mathcal{C}(Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$ is an equivalence.*

4.2.4 Remarks. (1) The topology for which $\mathcal{C}$ is a stack is involved because we need to do descent along the quotient $X \to Y$. If $R \rightrightarrows X$ is a groupoid over a locally Noetherian base S and $X \to S$ is locally of finite type, then $X \to Y$ is locally of finite presentation and it is enough that $\mathcal{C}$ be a stack for fppf. For instance, any (Artin) algebraic stack $\mathcal{C}$ is suitable.

(2) This result is not really an alternative to faithfully flat descent, but rather a refinement of it. Indeed, faithfully flat descent *does* provide an answer to the question of the image of π^*: it is the particular case of our theorem for the flat groupoid $R_1 := X \times_Y X \rightrightarrows X$ whose stabilizer is trivial. The category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{R_1}$ comprises objects with descent data, the latter being isomorphisms on products $X \times_Y X$ with conditions on triple products $X \times_Y X \times_Y X$. However, it is often the case in concrete geometric situations that there is a natural action of a group or groupoid $R \neq R_1$ such that it is much easier to handle R-equivariant objects. In these situations, the functor of points of the quotient $Y = X/R$ is usually hard to describe, as well as the square and the cube of X over Y, making $\mathcal{C}(X)^{R_1}$ less convenient.

Proof : We prove full faithfulness. Let $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ be objects of $\mathcal{C}(Y)$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_1, \phi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_2, \phi_2)$ be the pullbacks to X. Then ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are descent data i.e. they satisfy the glueing condition on triple overlaps. Therefore the bijectivity of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(Y)}(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}}((\mathcal{F}_1, \phi_1), (\mathcal{F}_2, \phi_2))$$

is a simple consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{C}$ is a stack.

We prove essential surjectivity. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}(X)^{R,\Sigma}$ be an equivariant object. Since the groupoid has complexity at most 1, the map $j : R \to X \times_Y X$ is an effective epimorphism. Since $R \times_{X \times_Y X} R$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma \times_{(s,t)} R$ via the map $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto (\psi^{-1}\varphi, \varphi)$, we have an exact sequence :

$$\Sigma \times_{(s,t)} R \underset{\mathrm{pr}_2}{\overset{d}{\rightrightarrows}} R \xrightarrow{\ j\ } X \times_Y X$$

where d is the composition $\Sigma \times_{(s,t)} R \xrightarrow{a\times\mathrm{id}} R \times_{(s,t)} R \xrightarrow{c} R$. It follows that for all $X \times_Y X$-algebraic spaces I, we have an exact diagram of sets:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X \times_Y X, I) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{Hom}(R, I) \underset{\mathrm{pr}_2^*}{\overset{d^*}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma \times_{Y,t} R, I).$$

Let $\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2 : X \times_Y X \to X$ be the projections, and let $I = \mathcal{I}som_{X\times_Y X}(\mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\mathcal{F})$. This is an algebraic space by assumption, so from the above we obtain an exact diagram of sets:

$$\mathrm{Isom}_{X\times_Y X}(\mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{Isom}_R(s^*\mathcal{F}, t^*\mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathrm{pr}_2^*}{\overset{d^*}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathrm{Isom}_{\Sigma\times R}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\,s^*\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,t^*\mathcal{F}).$$

Here we use the identifications $d^*s^*\mathcal{F} \simeq (sd)^*\mathcal{F} = (s\,\mathrm{pr}_2)^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,d^*\mathcal{F}$ which need no further comment, and the similar identifications with s replaced by t which require the observation that $td = t\,\mathrm{pr}_2$ since source and target agree on the stabilizer. Now consider the cocycle condition $c^*\phi = \mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\phi \circ \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi$ on $R \times_{(s,t)} R$ satisfied by the linearization $\phi : s^*\mathcal{F} \to t^*\mathcal{F}$. Then after pullback along $a \times \mathrm{id} : \Sigma \times_{(s,t)} R \to R \times_{(s,t)} R$, and since the stabilizer acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}$, this becomes:

$$d^*\phi = (a\,\mathrm{pr}_1)^*\phi \circ \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi = \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi.$$

Therefore by exactness of the diagram of Isom sets, ϕ descends to an isomorphism $\psi : \mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\mathcal{F}$. For ψ to be a descent datum with respect to the flat morphism $X \to Y$, it need only satisfy the usual glueing condition

$$(\star) \quad \mathrm{pr}_{31}^*\,\psi = \mathrm{pr}_{32}^*\,\psi \circ \mathrm{pr}_{21}^*\,\psi$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{ij} : X \times_Y X \times_Y X \to X \times_Y X$ are the projections. In order to prove that this indeed holds, observe that $(X \times_Y X) \times_X (X \times_Y X) = X \times_Y X \times_Y X$ and consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \times_{s,X,t} R & \xrightarrow{j\times j} & X \times_Y X \times_Y X \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_1,\mathrm{pr}_2,c}\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow & & \downarrow\downarrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{32},\mathrm{pr}_{21},\mathrm{pr}_{31}} \\ R & \xrightarrow{\quad j \quad} & X \times_Y X. \end{array}$$

On pulling back the relation $(\star)$ by $j \times j$ we obtain the relation $c^*\phi = (\mathrm{pr}_1^*\,\phi) \circ (\mathrm{pr}_2^*\,\phi)$ which holds by assumption. Since $R \to X$ and $X \to Y$ are flat, the morphism $j \times j$ is finite, surjective and schematically dominant, hence an epimorphism (note Lemma 2.1.5 implies that finite epimorphisms of spaces remain so after flat base change). Therefore the condition $(\star)$ holds, hence by flat descent $\mathcal{F}$ is the pullback of an object $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$. □

5 Quotient by a subgroupoid

In this section we come to the quotient question from the introduction, i.e. the construction of a quotient of a groupoid by a normal subgroupoid. Let us first review some known cases where this construction is possible.

(1) If $R \rightrightarrows X$ is given by the action of a group space G and $P \rightrightarrows X$ is given by a flat normal subgroup H. In this case the quotient groupoid $Q \rightrightarrows Y$ is the action of G/H on X/H. More generally the quotient exists when $R \rightrightarrows X$ is a local group action (i.e. it is given by a group action, fppf locally on X) and P is a flat local normal subgroup action.

(2) If $R \rightrightarrows X$ is finite locally free and P is a normal open and closed subgroupoid; this is the Bootstrap Theorem of [KM97], 7.8.

(3) If P is included in the stabilizer; this is the process of rigidification of [ACV03].

With suitable flatness assumptions, we provide another case in a different direction: the quotient exists when P has complexity at most 1.

5.1 The quotient theorem

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem. We emphasize that the existence of the quotients $Y = X/P$ and $Q = P\backslash R/P$ appearing in the statement is granted by 3.2.1.

5.1.1 Theorem. *Let $R \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat groupoid space. Let $P \rightrightarrows X$ be a flat locally finitely presented normal subgroupoid of R. Let $Y = X/P$ and $Q = P\backslash R/P$, and assume that $R \to Y$ and $Q \to Y$ are flat. If P has complexity at most 1, there is a quotient groupoid $Q \rightrightarrows Y$.*

We shall prove the theorem in three steps: first we build the structure maps of the desired groupoid; second we prove that these maps satisfy the groupoid axioms; third we prove that we have obtained a quotient of R by P. There is a lemma for each step. We let $\pi : R \to Q$ and $\rho : X \to Y$ denote the quotient maps.

5.1.2 Lemma. *There exist maps $s, t : Q \rightrightarrows Y$, $c : Q \times_Y Q \to Q$, $e : Y \to Q$, $i : Q \to Q$ (we use again the letters s, t, c, e, i since no confusion is likely to occur) making the following squares commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{s,t} & X \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{s,t} & Y \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} R \times_X R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\ {\scriptstyle\pi\times\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} \\ Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{c} & Q \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & R \\ {\scriptstyle\rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{e} & Q \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{i} & R \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{i} & Q \end{array}$$

Proof : We start with the maps s, t, e, i. Since ρ is P-invariant, for all $\varphi, \psi \in P$ and $\alpha \in R$ we have $(\rho s)(\varphi\alpha\psi) = (\rho s)(\psi) = (\rho t)(\psi) = (\rho s)(\alpha)$. This shows that ρs is invariant under prepostcomposition, hence it induces a map $s : Q \to Y$. Equally easy arguments provide the morphisms $t : Q \to Y$, $e : Y \to Q$ and $i : Q \to Q$. We skip the details.

The difficult part is to define the composition $c : Q \times_Y Q \to Q$. Consider $\pi_1 : R \to R/P$ the quotient of R by the action of P by postcomposition, and $\pi_3 : R/P \to Q$ the quotient by the action of P by postcomposition. Note that $Q = P\backslash(R/P) = (P\backslash R)/P$ because all three spaces satisfy the same universal property. We shall construct c_1, c_2 and then $c = c_3$ as in the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \times_X P \times_X R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\ \vdots\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi_1} \\ R \times_Y R & \xrightarrow{c_1} & R/P \\ \vdots\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi_2=\mathrm{id}} \\ Q \times_Y R & \xrightarrow{c_2} & R/P \\ \vdots\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi_3} \\ Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{c_3} & Q. \end{array}$$

Let Σ be the stabilizer of $P \rightrightarrows X$. That P has complexity at most 1 implies that the map $j_Y : P \to X \times_Y X$ is an effective epimorphism and as before we have an exact diagram:

$$\Sigma \times_{(s,t)} P \rightrightarrows P \xrightarrow{j_Y} X \times_Y X.$$

Therefore the scheme $X \times_Y X$ is the categorical quotient of P by the translation action of Σ, and this remains true after any flat base change (Corollary 2.2.9). In particular, applying the flat base changes $s, t : R \to X$ we have, as a categorical quotient:

$$(R \times_X P \times_X R)/\Sigma = R \times_X (X \times_Y X) \times_X R = R \times_Y R.$$

Now we check that the morphism $\pi_1 \circ c : R \times_{(s,X,t)} P \times_{(s,X,t)} R \to P/R$ is Σ-invariant: for $\alpha, \beta \in R$, $\varphi \in P$, $\psi \in \Sigma$, we have $\alpha\psi\alpha^{-1} \in P$ by normality and hence $\pi_1(\alpha\psi\varphi\beta) = \pi_1(\alpha\psi\alpha^{-1}\alpha\varphi\beta) = \pi_1(\alpha\varphi\beta)$. It follows that $\pi_1 \circ c$ factors through a map $c_1 : R \times_Y R \to R/P$ as in the diagram.

Then we consider the action of $P \times P$ by pre-post-composition on the first factor of $R \times_Y R$, in other words the pullback along $R \to Y$ of the action of $P \times P$ by pre-post-composition on R. Since $R \to Y$ is flat the quotient of this groupoid is isomorphic to $Q \times_Y R$. In order to check that c_1 is invariant, we lift this $P \times P$ action to $R \times P \times R$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(P \times R \times P) \times P \times R & \overset{s,t}{\rightrightarrows} & R \times P \times R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\
(P \times R \times P) \times (X \times_Y X) \times R & \overset{s,t}{\rightrightarrows} & R \times_Y R & \overset{c_1}{\dashrightarrow} & R/P.
\end{array}$$

Here the top groupoid is defined by

$$s(\varphi, \alpha, \psi, \chi, \beta) = (\alpha, \chi, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad t(\varphi, \alpha, \psi, \chi, \beta) = (\varphi\alpha\psi, \psi^{-1}\chi, \beta).$$

Since $P \times R \times P$ and R are flat over X, then the map a is a flat pullback of the epimorphism $j : P \to X \times_Y X$. If follows that a is an epimorphism, hence in order to verify $c_1 s = c_1 t$ it is enough to prove that $\pi_1 cs = \pi_1 ct$. But this is clear. Therefore c_1 induces a map $c_2 : Q \times_Y R \to R/P$.

Finally we consider the action of $P \times P$ by pre-post-composition on the second factor of $Q \times_Y R$. Argueing as before, using the flatness of $Q \to Y$, we find that the quotient of this action is $Q \times_Y Q$ and that the map $\pi_3 \circ c_2$ is invariant hence factors through a map $c_3 : Q \times_Y Q \to Q$. □

5.1.3 Remarks. (1) The map $R \times_X P \times_X R \to Q \times_Y Q$ may be viewed as a quotient by an action of $P \times P \times \Sigma \times P \times P$. In particular it is an effective epimorphism.

(2) In the proof above, we need to commute some quotients and base changes i.e. we need that certain maps $(X \times_Y Y')/(P \times_Y Y') \to (X/P) \times_Y Y'$, where $Y = X/P$, are isomorphisms. The most classical known cases where this is possible are: (i) if $Y' \to Y$ is flat (a condition on the base change), and (ii) if P is given by a reductive group action (a condition on the groupoid). The assumptions that $R \to Y$ and $Q \to Y$ are flat serve the purpose to be able to apply (i). We could as well give a statement where $P \rightrightarrows X$ is given by the action of a linearly reductive group (at least locally). An important observation is that in fact we need only to perform some *specific* base changes which have nice properties (most are effective epimorphisms, submersions...). This leads to another line of thought around the investigation of families of examples of groupoids whose quotient commutes with classes other (weaker) than flat maps. We do not know if such a quest was undertaken. We tried a couple of examples without much success. For instance, if X is the affine plane over a field of characteristic 2 and $G = \alpha_2$ acts by the square-zero derivation $D = x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + xy\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, it can be seen that the base change map of $X \to X/G$ by itself is not an isomorphism.

5.1.4 Lemma. *The maps s, t, c, e, i of the previous lemma are unique. They give $Q \rightrightarrows Y$ the structure of a groupoid. The map $\pi : R \to Q$ is a morphism of groupoids.*

Proof : The fact that the maps s, t, e, i as in 5.1.2 are unique is a consequence of the fact that π and ρ are epimorphisms. For c we can not argue similarly because we do not know if $R \times_X R \to Q \times_Y Q$ is an epimorphism. We defer this point to the end of the proof.

We check the groupoid axioms. We start by the associativity of composition. This is expressed by the equality between two maps $u, v : Q \times_Y Q \times_Y Q \to Q$ with $u(a, b, c) = (ab)c$ and $v(a, b, c) = a(bc)$. We contemplate a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \times_X P \times_X R \times_X P \times_X R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ Q \times_Y Q \times_Y Q & \overset{u,v}{\rightrightarrows} & Q. \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical map a is the natural one, forgetting the P factors and projecting along $R \to Q$. By the same considerations as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.2 and Remark 5.1.3, we see that a is an epimorphism. Hence in order to see if $u = v$ we may precompose by a. Since on the level of the groupoid R all combinations of the composition c agree by associativity, it follows that $ua = \pi c = va$. This settles the claim that $u = v$. The other axioms of a groupoid are checked in a similar fashion.

We prove that $R \to Q$ is a morphism of groupoids. This is simply because the exterior paths of the following diagram are equal:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R \times_X R & \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto(\alpha,1,\beta)} & R \times_X P \times_X R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ & & Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{c} & Q. \end{array}$$

From this follows that c is unique, because we have a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \times_X P \times_X R & \xrightarrow{c} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{c} & Q. \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is an epimorphism. □

5.1.5 Lemma. *The groupoid $Q \rightrightarrows Y$ is a quotient of $R \rightrightarrows X$ by P.*

Proof : Let $f : (R, X) \to (R', X')$ be a morphism of groupoids such that $P \subset \ker(f)$. Then the map $f : R \to R'$ is invariant by the pre-post-composition of P on R, hence it factors through a map $Q \to R'$. Similarly the map $f : X \to X'$ is invariant by the action of P hence it factors through a map $Y \to X'$. In order to see that $(Q, Y) \to (R', X')$ is a morphism of groupoids, one uses the same method as in the proof of 5.1.4, dominating epimorphically $Q \times_Y Q$ by $R \times_X P \times_X R$ in order to reduce to the fact that the original f is a morphism of groupoids. □

References

[ACV03] D. Abramovich, A. Corti, A. Vistoli, *Twisted bundles and admissible covers*, Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), no. 8, 3547–3618.

[Ar70] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli. II. Existence of modifications*, Ann. of Math. (2) 91 (1970), 88–135.

[Ar74] M. Artin, *Versal deformations and algebraic stacks*, Invent. Math. 27 (1974), 165–189.

[CLO12] B. Conrad, M. Lieblich, M. Olsson, *Nagata compactification for algebraic spaces*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 11 (2012), no. 4, 747–814.

[EGAIV.3] A. Grothendieck, with J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV*, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas III, Publ. Math. IHES no. 28, 1966.

[EGAIV.4] A. Grothendieck, with J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV*, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV, Publ. Math. IHES no. 32, 1967.

[Ek88] T. Ekedahl, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Publ. Math. IHÉS no. 67 (1988), 97–144.

[Fe1] D. Ferrand, *Épimorphismes d'anneaux à source noethérienne et monomorphismes de schémas*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 266, 1968, A319–A321.

[Fe2] D. Ferrand, *Monomorphismes de schémas noethériens*, Séminaire Samuel, Algèbre commutative, tome 2 (1967-1968), Exp. No. 7.

[Fe3] D. Ferrand, *Conducteur, descente et pincement*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 131 (2003), no. 4, 553–585.

[Gr59] A. Grothendieck, *Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géometrie algébrique I. Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 5, Exp. No. 190, 299–327, SMF, 1995.

[KM97] S. Keel, S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. Math. (2) 145, No.1, 193-213 (1997).

[Ko97] J. Kollár, *Quotient spaces modulo algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), no. 1, 33–79.

[Le65] A. H. M. Levelt, *Sur la pro-représentabilité de certains foncteurs en géométrie algébrique*, Notes from Mathematisch Instituut, Katholieke Universiteit, Nijmegen (The Netherlands), 1965. Available at `http://www.math.ru.nl/~ahml/prorep.pdf`.

[Li05] M. Lieblich, *Groupoids and quotients in algebraic geometry*, Snowbird lectures in algebraic geometry, 119–136, Contemp. Math., 388, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.

[Ra74] J.-C. Raoult, *Compactification des espaces algébriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278 (1974), 867–869.

[RS76] A. N. Rudakov, I. Shafarevich, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40 (1976), no. 6, 1269–1307.

[Ry10] D. Rydh, *Submersions and effective descent of étale morphisms*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 138(2) (2010), 181–230.

[Ry11] D. Rydh, *Compactification of tame Deligne-Mumford stacks*, preprint, 2011.

[Ry13] D. Rydh, *Existence and properties of geometric quotients*, J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), no. 4, 629–669.

[SGA1] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Documents Mathématiques 3, Société Mathématique de France, 2003.

[SGA3.1] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, Tome I. Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes, Documents Mathématiques 7, Société Mathématique de France, 2011.

[SGA3.2] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, Tome II. Groupes de type multiplicatif, et structure des schémas en groupes généraux. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 152, Springer, 1970.

[SP] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2016.

[TT16] M. Temkin, I. Tyomkin, *Ferrand pushouts for algebraic spaces*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.6014`, 2016.

Matthieu Romagny, `matthieu.romagny@univ-rennes1.fr`
IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Gabriel Zalamansky, `g.s.zalamansky@umail.leidenuniv.nl`
Universiteit Leiden, Snellius Building, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands